



# **Cabinet (Performance Management) Panel**

22 February 2016

<b>Report title</b>	Housing Managing Agents Performance Monitoring Report – Quarter Two July 2015 to September 2015	
<b>Decision designation</b>	AMBER	
<b>Cabinet member with lead responsibility</b>	Councillor Peter Bilson City Assets	
<b>Key decision</b>	No	
<b>In forward plan</b>	No	
<b>Wards affected</b>	All	
<b>Accountable director</b>	Lesley Roberts, Housing	
<b>Originating service</b>	Housing Services	
<b>Accountable employee(s)</b>	Liane Taylor	Housing Strategy & Development Support Officer – Housing Services 01902 554758 Liane.Taylor@wolverhampton.gov.uk
	Tel	
	Email	
<b>Report to be/has been considered by</b>	N/A	

---

## **Recommendation(s) for action or decision:**

The Cabinet (Performance Management) Panel is recommended to:

1. Review and comment on the performance of the housing management agents for quarter two 2015/16 and any areas for improvement.

## **1.0 Purpose**

- 1.1 The primary purpose of this report is to provide Councillors with an evaluation of the performance of Wolverhampton Homes and the Tenant Management Organisations (TMOs) in managing and maintaining Council owned dwellings during the 2015-16 financial year.

## **2.0 Background**

- 2.1 This report assists in clarifying and highlighting areas of performance and in particular where performance data suggests that intervention or revised working may be required or has been undertaken.
- 2.2 Unfortunately due to the period of time necessary to collate all of the internal and external performance data and the sequencing of the meetings of the Panel this report relates to quarter two performance in 2015-16 (1 July 2015 to 30 September 2015).
- 2.3 This report illustrates performance from quarter two 2014-15 to quarter two 2015-16 inclusively to allow comparison over the year.
- 2.4 The performance for each of the managing agents is grouped under three headings:
- a) Rents management
  - b) Repairs management
  - c) Voids and allocations
- 2.5 Wolverhampton Homes additionally reports on business planning, satisfaction with the handling and outcome of the Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB) process, Stock Investment, Customer Care and Estate Services.
- 2.6 Tables indicate both the direction in which performance needs to move for improvement and performance trends between the current and the previous quarter.
- 2.7 Additionally, performance is categorised as:
- a) GREEN – where performance is in target and:
    - (i) Was in target the previous quarter, or
    - (ii) Was marked as Amber in the previous quarter.
  - b) AMBER – where performance is:
    - (i) Off target this quarter and was marked as Green in the previous quarter, or
    - (ii) In target this quarter and was marked as Red in the previous quarter.
  - c) RED – where performance is off target and,
    - (i) Was marked as Amber in the previous quarter, or
    - (ii) Was marked as Red in the previous quarter, or
    - (iii) Gives clear cause for concern

The left hand column of the table will show G, A or R.

## **2.8 Governance**

- 2.8.1 The Housing Strategy Team continues to monitor the governance of the housing management organisations.
- 2.8.2 The Service Manager Housing Strategy and Development attends Wolverhampton Homes' board meetings as an observer. Wolverhampton Homes' board, committee and other minutes and papers are available on request to Council employees.
- 2.8.3 The TMOs have provided agendas, minutes and other documents from their regular meetings. Housing Services employees have observed TMO board and committee meetings where resources have permitted.

## **3.0 Progress for Wolverhampton Homes**

- 3.1 This section gives an outline of Wolverhampton Homes' performance for quarter two 2015/16. Performance details are available in Appendix 1a and 1b.
- 3.2 Wolverhampton Homes manages 20,643 properties on behalf of City of Wolverhampton Council. Generally, good performance has been maintained in the second quarter of the year and remains good overall. Of the twenty-four indicators included in this report;
- performance for sixteen of the twenty-two with targets set are in target
  - performance has improved or been maintained for four of the eighteen with applicable targets where comparison with the same quarter last year is possible.
  - performance has been maintained or improved for thirteen of the twenty-one with applicable data where comparison with the previous quarter is possible.
  - for the five indicators where performance is not in target, the causes have been identified and addressed below.

## **3.3 Rents Management**

- 3.3.1 Changes in housing benefit brought about by Welfare Reform have had an impact on resources for Wolverhampton Homes. Some staffing resources have been diverted to respond to the needs of tenants and the organisation, including income/arrears collection and the provision of money and debt advice for example undertaking detailed financial assessments. Partnerships have also been developed, most notably with the CAB and Refugee and Migrant Centre, providing specialist advice and information which is tailored to meet the needs of individual households.
- 3.3.2 Performance for rents management was good in the second quarter of 2015-16, meeting all but one targets, and improving performance for one indicators when compared to the previous quarter. Performance has weakened slightly when compared to the same quarter last year. Rent collected continues to exceed the profiled quarterly target. There have been fifty-two evictions for rent arrears this year, none of which were solely due to the impact of welfare reform and the removal of the under occupancy subsidy.
- 3.3.3 This area of performance does not currently give any cause for concern.

### **3.4 Repairs Management**

- 3.4.1 Current repairs performance has generally weakened very slightly when compared to the previous quarter and the same quarter last year. The percentage of valid gas certificates continues to exceed the target.
- 3.4.2 The methodologies for the repairs performance indicators are currently under review by Wolverhampton Homes. The service is to be delivered through AM or PM appointments, rather than the two hour time slots introduced as part of Vision, to increase efficiency and improve the service to customers. The full suite of repairs indicators is expected to be available in quarter three 2015-16.

### **3.5 Voids and Allocations**

- 3.5.1 The methodology for the average time to re-let property indicator is currently under review by Wolverhampton Homes, and so there is currently no data available for comparison. The new methodology is expected to be available for quarter three 2015-16.
- 3.5.2 Performance for empty property management was good for the second quarter of 2015-16, meeting all targets with performance for tenancy offers accepted first time improving when compared to the same quarter in the previous year.
- 3.5.3 The average number of empty dwellings at quarter four is 196 out of the total stock, i.e. 0.01%.

### **3.6 Business Planning**

Performance for average days lost through employee illness continues to be very good, remaining within target and improving on last quarter.

### **3.7 Anti-Social Behaviour**

- 3.7.1 Performance for tenant satisfaction with the anti-social behaviour service remains in target with improvement on the previous quarter, although performance has weakened slightly when compare to the same quarter last year.

### **3.8 Stock Investment**

- 3.8.1 Although the decent homes backlog funding has now come to an end, the Housing Capital Works programme for financial year 2015-16 and subsequent years includes budgetary allowances for continuing decent homes work, both to properties in the City that have not yet received decent homes work and for properties that fall out of decency over time.
- 3.8.2 There are five new indicators introduced from quarter one 2015-16, for which there is data available for three. Two of the indicators with available data are within target and one is off target. For the Indicator that is off target 'Tenant satisfaction with the completed work', performance has continued to improve in the previous two quarters.

### **3.9 Customer Care**

- 3.9.1 Performance for both Homes Direct indicators is good with both being in target and the percentage of calls abandoned improving when compared to the previous quarter.
- 3.9.2 Performance for complaints responded to in target time remains off target and has weakened when compared to that same quarter last year, although it has improved this quarter. There are resourcing issues which contributed to delays.

### **3.10 Estate and Concierge Services**

Performance for fire safety inspections on low and medium rise blocks and on high rise blocks continues to be excellent, maintaining 100% checks completed since the same quarter last year.

## **4.0 Progress for Bushbury Hill Estate Management Board (EMB)**

- 4.1 This section gives an outline of Bushbury Hill EMB's performance for quarter two 2015-16. Performance details are available in Appendix 2.
- 4.2 Bushbury Hill EMB manages 838 properties on behalf of City of Wolverhampton Council. Generally, performance has improved this quarter. All nine indicators are in target and six have improved when compared to the same quarter last year and performance for four of the indicators has improved or been maintained when compared to the previous quarter.

### **4.3 Rents Management**

- 4.3.1 Performance for rents management was very good in the second quarter of 2015-16, meeting all targets although the number of tenants with more than seven weeks rents arrears has risen when compared to the same quarter last year. To address this, the TMO had a drive on collections, has incentivised payment by Direct Debit, and produced literature on priority debts to support tenants in sustaining their tenancies.

### **4.4 Voids and Allocations**

- 4.4.1 The TMO operates a local lettings plan and its own choice-based lettings scheme - Bushbury Choose Your Home. The Housing Strategy team is currently monitoring and reviewing the processes and early indications suggest that it is effective and well run.
- 4.4.2 Performance for voids and allocations has been very good this quarter with the average re-let time being well within target.

### **4.5 Repairs**

- 4.5.1 Bushbury Hill EMB delivers its repairs service to tenants through a contract with Wrekin Housing Trust and offers tenants a "same day" repairs service. The methodology the Council uses to measure repairs performance cannot measure this service. As the focus on repairs services shifts to customer convenience rather than government timescales,

Bushbury Hill EMB has developed a suite of repairs indicators that will enable it to measure its performance.

- 4.5.2 Performance is good with repairs attended in time improved when compared to the previous quarter, rapid response repairs attended same day improved when compared to the same quarter last year and all repairs indicators within target.

#### 4.6 **Governance**

Governance of Bushbury Hill EMB is good. There is a strong active board with clear leadership from the chair. Officers support the board and strive to improve and widen the services provided to tenants. For example through its relationship with Wrekin Housing Trust, BHEMB offers money advice to tenants. The EMB also operate life skills and getting ready for tenancy training courses from its offices.

### 5.0 **Progress for Dovecotes Tenant management Organisation (TMO)**

- 5.1 This section gives an outline of Dovecotes TMO's performance for quarter two 2015-16. Performance details are available in Appendix 3.

- 5.2 Dovecotes TMO manages 827 properties on behalf of City of Wolverhampton Council. Generally performance is good this quarter. Of the eleven indicators nine are in target, six have improved or been maintained this quarter and six have improved or been maintained when compared to the same quarter last year.

#### 5.3 **Rents**

- 5.3.1 Performance for rents management was good in the first quarter of 2015-16, meeting all but one target. The percentage of tenants with more than seven weeks rent arrears remains off target. The TMO has referred some tenants to Wolverhampton Homes' Money Smart to assist with tenancy sustainment.

#### 5.4 **Voids and Allocations**

- 5.4.1 Performance for voids and allocations has been good this quarter with levels of void loss and the average re-let time both in target.

#### 5.5 **Repairs**

- 5.5.1 Performance for repairs is good with all but one indicators in target, two improved when compared to the previous quarter and three improved or maintained when compared to the same quarter last year.

#### 5.6 **Governance**

- 5.6.1 The TMO is in discussion with a training provider to develop a training plan for board members.

## **6.0 Progress for New Park Village Tenant Management Co-operative (TMC)**

- 6.1 This section gives an outline of New Park Village TMC's performance for quarter two 2015-16. Performance details are available in Appendix 4.
- 6.2 New Park Village TMC manages 298 properties on behalf of City of Wolverhampton Council. Generally, performance has improved this quarter. Of the ten indicators nine are in target, seven have improved or been maintained when compared to the previous quarter and five have improved or been maintained when compared to the same quarter last year.
- 6.3 **Rents**
- 6.3.1 Performance for rents management was good in the second quarter of 2015-16, with all indicators in target. Arrears as a percentage of the rent roll has improved when compared to the previous quarter and the same quarter last year.
- 6.4 **Voids and Allocations**
- 6.4.1 New Park Village has reported difficulties in letting some of the properties on the estate. A small third bedroom, and the heating charge that is applied only on this estate, contribute to the properties, particularly those with three bedrooms, appearing unaffordable to some potential tenants. This has, on a number of occasions, lead to tenancy offers being declined and in some cases to new tenants leaving the estate and entering the private rented market. In response to heating network regulations and a review of the HRA business plan, the heating charge has been removed with effect from 04 April 2016.
- 6.4.2 Performance for voids and allocations has been mixed this quarter. The level of void loss is within target and has improved when compared to the previous. However, the average re-let time is off target and has weakened when compared to the same quarter last year. The TMO attributes this longer re-let time partly to multiple re-advertising of properties when tenancy offers are declined.
- 6.5 **Repairs**
- 6.5.1 Performance for repairs is very good with all indicators in target, and improved or maintained when compared to the previous quarter and the same quarter last year.
- ## **7.0 Progress for Springfield Horseshoe Housing Management Co-operative (HMC)**
- 7.1 This section gives an outline of Springfield Horseshoe HMC's performance for quarter two 2015-16. Performance details are available in Appendix 5.
- 7.2 Springfield Horseshoe HMC manages 271 properties on behalf of City of Wolverhampton Council. Generally, performance has been very good this quarter. Of the ten indicators all are in target, eight have been improved or maintained this quarter and eight have improved or been maintained when compared to the same quarter last year.

### **7.3 Rents Management**

- 7.3.1 Performance for rents management was good in the second quarter of 2015-16, with all indicators well within target.
- 7.3.2 The TMO is pursuing arrears cases to encourage tenants to seek advice and assistance where necessary.

### **7.4 Voids and Allocations**

- 7.4.1 Performance for voids and allocations has been very good this quarter. Levels of void loss and the average re-let time are both well within target and improved when compared to the previous quarter and the same quarter last year.

### **7.5 Repairs**

- 7.5.1 Performance for repairs remains very good with all indicators in target and all performance improved or maintained at very high levels. All repairs are completed within timescales with the average time to complete non-urgent repairs continuing to be one day.

### **7.6 Governance**

- 7.6.1 The TMO is currently reviewing its policies and procedures with external assistance and is undertaking a programme of board member training.

## **8.0 Financial implications**

- 8.1 This report has no financial implications.  
[MF/08022016/J]

## **9.0 Legal implications**

- 9.1 The services provided by the managing agents relates to the discharge of the Council's duties to its tenants. Failure to undertake relevant repairs to housing stock within a reasonable time following notice to the Council of disrepair can result in a tenant commencing proceedings in the civil courts against the Council for breach of repairing obligations under S11 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985.  
[RB/11022016/T]

## **10.0 Equalities implications**

- 10.1 There are no direct equality implications arising from this report, however the delivery of housing management services has an impact on the accessibility of housing for residents in the city.



## **11.0 Environmental implications**

- 11.1 There are no direct environmental implications arising from this report, however the proper management of the Council's housing stock including investment to repair and improve properties considerably enhances the built environment.

## **12.0 Human resources implications**

- 12.1 This report has no human resources implications.

## **13.0 Corporate landlord implications**

- 13.1 This report relates to the performance of the housing management agents and council housing stock and therefore has no corporate landlord implications.

## **14.0 Schedule of background papers**

### **Appendix 1a:**

Wolverhampton Homes – 2015-16 Quarter Two Performance (by category)

### **Appendix 1b:**

Wolverhampton Homes – 2015-16 Quarter Two Performance (by Green Amber Red)

### **Appendix 2:**

Bushbury Hill EMB – 2015-16 Quarter Two Performance (by category)

### **Appendix 3:**

Dovecotes TMO – 2015-16 Quarter Two Performance (by category)

### **Appendix 4:**

New Park Village TMC – 2015-16 Quarter Two Performance (by category)

### **Appendix 5:**

Springfield Horseshoe HMC – 2015-16 Quarter Two Performance (by category)

Appendix 1a Wolverhampton Homes by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q2 15/16 to date	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Anti-social behaviour</b>											
<b>G</b>	% satisfied with the way their ASB complaint was dealt with	<b>H</b>	96.88	93.55	94.53	94.39	95.61	95.02	<b>[P] 90.00 [A] 90.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% satisfied with the outcome of their ASB complaint	<b>H</b>	96.25	93.55	92.19	91.59	93.21	93.21	<b>[P] 90.00 [A] 90.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Business planning</b>											
<b>G</b>	Average days lost through illness	<b>L</b>	5.45	6.20	6.17	5.76	5.47	5.47	<b>[P] 6.50 [A] 6.50</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Customer care</b>											
<b>G</b>	Homes Direct - Average call answer wait time (in seconds)	<b>L</b>	23.00	75.00	58.00	57.00	63.00	60.00	<b>[P] 90.00 [A] 90.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>G</b>	Homes Direct - % of calls abandoned	<b>L</b>	2.90	10.50	8.50	12.90	9.30	11.10	<b>[P] 15.00 [A] 15.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>R</b>	Complaints responded to in target timescales - %	<b>H</b>	93.48	81.82	90.48	90.16	92.31	91.27	<b>[P] 95.00 [A] 95.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	Councillor enquiries responded to in 14 days	<b>H</b>	97.01	94.51	96.23	92.02	95.38	93.63	<b>[P] 95.00 [A] 95.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Estate services</b>											
<b>G</b>	% of fire safety inspections completed on low rise & medium rise blocks (concierge)	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>[P] 99.00 [A] 99.00</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>
<b>G</b>	% of fire safety inspections completed on high rise blocks (concierge)	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>[P] 99.00 [A] 99.00</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>

Appendix 1a Wolverhampton Homes by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q2 15/16 to date	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Rent management</b>											
<b>G</b>	Rent collected as a percentage of rent owed	<b>H</b>	97.77	98.09	98.44	96.79	97.62	97.62	<b>[P] 96.84 [A] 97.50</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year in and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	Tenants with more than 7 weeks arrears as a percentage of all tenants	<b>L</b>	1.43	1.50	1.55	1.54	1.67	1.67	<b>[P] 1.90 [A] 1.90</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>A</b>	Tenants evicted for rent arrears as a percentage of all tenants	<b>L</b>	0.19	0.27	0.42	0.10	0.26	0.26	<b>[P] 0.22 [A] 0.45</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	<b>-</b>
<b>A</b>	Rent arrears of current tenants as a % of the rent roll (WH only)	<b>L</b>	1.26	1.16	0.87	1.29	1.33	1.33	<b>[P] 1.46 [A] 0.98</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>Repairs</b>											
<b>A</b>	% of responsive repairs for which an appointment was made & kept	<b>H</b>	95.22	94.98	95.04	94.38	93.20	93.67	<b>[P] 95.00 [A] 95.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	<b>-</b>
<b>G</b>	% of valid gas certificates for tenanted properties	<b>H</b>	99.99	99.98	100.00	99.99	99.98	99.98	<b>[P] 99.60 [A] 99.60</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>R</b>	% total response repairs completed within target	<b>H</b>	98.47	98.87	98.16	97.85	96.57	97.22	<b>[P] 99.00 [A] 99.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	<b>-</b>
<b>Stock investment</b>											
<b>G</b>	% progress (by Value) with the delivery of capital projects	<b>+/- 5%</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.41	24.87	48.50	<b>[P]25.00 [A] 100.00</b>	This was a new target for 2015-16. Performance is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>N/A</b>	% of properties that were non-decent in 2010 and are still non-decent	<b>L</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.36	ND	ND	<b>TBC</b>	There is no data available for this indicator.	<b>ND</b>

Appendix 1a Wolverhampton Homes by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q2 15/16 to date	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Stock investment - continued</b>											
<b>N/A</b>	% of newly arising properties that are non-decent	<b>L</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.14	ND	ND	<b>TBC</b>	There is no data available for this indicator.	<b>ND</b>
<b>R</b>	Tenant satisfaction with the completed work	<b>H</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.36	92.30	93.07	<b>[P] 96.00 [A] 96.00</b>	This was a new target for 2015-16. Performance is off target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% of workforce who are local labour	<b>H</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.52	34.80	35.72	<b>[P] 30.00 [A] 30.00</b>	This was a new target for 2015-16. Performance is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>Voids and allocations</b>											
<b>N/A</b>	Average days to re-let property	<b>L</b>	19	20	24	N/A	ND	ND	<b>[P] 25 [A] 25</b>	There is no data available for this indicator.	<b>ND</b>
<b>G</b>	% of tenancy offers accepted first time	<b>H</b>	82.90	82.65	87.22	80.43	85.81	85.31	<b>[P] 80.00 [A] 80.00</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% Rent lost through properties being vacant	<b>L</b>	1.53	1.55	1.57	1.60	1.53	1.53	<b>[P] 1.60 [A] 1.60</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>

**Appendix 1b  
Wolverhampton Homes  
by RAG**

		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q2 15/16 to date	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Green - Antisocial behaviour</b>											
<b>G</b>	% satisfied with the way their ASB complaint was dealt with	<b>H</b>	96.88	93.55	94.53	94.39	95.61	95.02	<b>[P] 90.00 [A] 90.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% satisfied with the outcome of their ASB complaint	<b>H</b>	96.25	93.55	92.19	91.59	93.21	93.21	<b>[P] 90.00 [A] 90.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Green - Business planning</b>											
<b>G</b>	Average days lost through illness	<b>L</b>	5.45	6.20	6.17	5.76	5.47	5.47	<b>[P] 6.50 [A] 6.50</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Green - Customer care</b>											
<b>G</b>	Homes Direct - Average call answer wait time (in seconds)	<b>L</b>	23.00	75.00	58.00	57.00	63.00	60.00	<b>[P] 90.00 [A] 90.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>G</b>	Homes Direct - % of calls abandoned	<b>L</b>	2.90	10.50	8.50	12.90	9.30	11.10	<b>[P] 15.00 [A] 15.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	Councillor enquiries responded to in 14 days	<b>H</b>	97.01	94.51	96.23	92.02	95.38	93.63	<b>[P] 95.00 [A] 95.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Green - Estate services</b>											
<b>G</b>	% of fire safety inspections completed on low rise & medium rise blocks (concierge)	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>[P] 99.00 [A] 99.00</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>
<b>G</b>	% of fire safety inspections completed on high rise blocks (concierge)	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>[P] 99.00 [A] 99.00</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>

Appendix 1b Wolverhampton Homes by RAG		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q2 15/16 to date	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Green - Rent management</b>											
<b>G</b>	Rent collected as a percentage of rent owed	<b>H</b>	97.77	98.09	98.44	96.79	97.62	97.62	<b>[P] 96.84 [A] 97.50</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year in and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	Tenants with more than 7 weeks arrears as a percentage of all tenants	<b>L</b>	1.43	1.50	1.55	1.54	1.67	1.67	<b>[P] 1.90 [A] 1.90</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>Green - Repairs</b>											
<b>G</b>	% of valid gas certificates for tenanted properties	<b>H</b>	99.99	99.98	100.00	99.99	99.98	99.98	<b>[P] 99.60 [A] 99.60</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>Green - Stock investment</b>											
<b>G</b>	% progress (by Value) with the delivery of capital projects	<b>+/- 5%</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.41	24.87	48.50	<b>[P]25.00 [A] 100.00</b>	This was a new target for 2015-16. Performance is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% of workforce who are local labour	<b>H</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.52	34.80	35.72	<b>[P] 30.00 [A] 30.00</b>	This was a new target for 2015-16. Performance is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>Green - Voids and allocations</b>											
<b>G</b>	% of tenancy offers accepted first time	<b>H</b>	82.90	82.65	87.22	80.43	85.81	85.31	<b>[P] 80.00 [A] 80.00</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% Rent lost through properties being vacant	<b>L</b>	1.53	1.55	1.57	1.60	1.53	1.53	<b>[P] 1.60 [A] 1.60</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Amber - Rents management</b>											
<b>A</b>	Tenants evicted for rent arrears as a percentage of all tenants	<b>L</b>	0.19	0.27	0.42	0.10	0.26	0.26	<b>[P] 0.22 [A] 0.45</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	<b>-</b>

Appendix 1b Wolverhampton Homes by RAG		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q2 15/16 to date	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Amber - Rents management - continued</b>											
<b>A</b>	Rent arrears of current tenants as a % of the rent roll (WH only)	<b>L</b>	1.26	1.16	0.87	1.29	1.33	1.33	<b>[P] 1.46 [A] 0.98</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>Amber - Repairs</b>											
<b>A</b>	% of responsive repairs for which an appointment was made & kept	<b>H</b>	95.22	94.98	95.04	94.38	93.20	93.67	<b>[P] 95.00 [A] 95.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	-
<b>Red - Customer care</b>											
<b>R</b>	Complaints responded to in target timescales - %	<b>H</b>	93.48	81.82	90.48	90.16	92.31	91.27	<b>[P] 95.00 [A] 95.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	+
<b>Red - Repairs</b>											
<b>R</b>	% total response repairs completed within target	<b>H</b>	98.47	98.87	98.16	97.85	96.57	97.22	<b>[P] 99.00 [A] 99.00</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	-
<b>Red - Stock investment</b>											
<b>R</b>	Tenant satisfaction with the completed work	<b>H</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.36	92.30	93.07	<b>[P] 96.00 [A] 96.00</b>	This was a new target for 2015-16. Performance is off target.	+
<b>N/A - Stock investment</b>											
<b>N/A</b>	% of properties that were non-decent in 2010 and are still non-decent	<b>L</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.36	ND	ND	<b>TBC</b>	There is no data available for this indicator.	<b>ND</b>
<b>N/A</b>	% of newly arising properties that are non-decent	<b>L</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.14	ND	ND	<b>TBC</b>	There is no data available for this indicator.	<b>ND</b>

**Appendix 1b  
Wolverhampton Homes  
by RAG**

		<b>Good is</b>	<b>Q2 14/15</b>	<b>Q3 14/15</b>	<b>Q4 14/15</b>	<b>Q1 15/16</b>	<b>Q2 15/16</b>	<b>Q2 15/16 to date</b>	<b>Target Profile Or Annual</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Trend Q-O-Q</b>
<b>N/A - Voids and allocations</b>											
<b>N/A</b>	Average days to re-let property	<b>L</b>	19	20	24	N/A	ND	ND	<b>[P] 25 [A] 25</b>	There is no data available for this indicator.	<b>ND</b>



Appendix 2 Bushbury Hill by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Rents management</b>										
<b>G</b>	% tenants with more than seven weeks (gross) rent arrears	<b>L</b>	1.84	2.40	2.31	2.03	2.43	<b>3.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>G</b>	% of tenants evicted as a result of rent arrears	<b>L</b>	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>1.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	=
<b>G</b>	Number of Tenants Evicted for Rent Arrears (cumulative)	<b>L</b>	1	0	0	0	0	<b>12</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	=
<b>G</b>	Arrears as % of rent roll (cumulative)	<b>L</b>	1.56	1.81	1.14	1.53	1.54	<b>2.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>Voids and Allocations</b>										
<b>G</b>	Void Loss as a % of rent roll	<b>L</b>	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.02	0.04	<b>1.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>G</b>	Average time to re-let housing	<b>L</b>	20.71	28.50	28.36	22.75	13.00	<b>35 days</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	+
<b>Repairs</b>										
<b>G</b>	% Repairs attended within time (WHT & WH)	<b>H</b>	97.85	97.31	97.39	97.03	97.79	<b>95.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	+
<b>G</b>	% Rapid Response Repairs attended same day (WHT only)	<b>H</b>	97.82	97.42	97.80	99.70	98.63	<b>97.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>G</b>	% Rapid Response completed same day (WHT only)	<b>H</b>	82.22	81.77	82.63	87.51	81.51	<b>80.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	-

Appendix 3 Dovecotes TMO by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Rent management</b>										
<b>R</b>	% tenants with more than seven weeks (gross) rent arrears	<b>L</b>	5.25	5.31	5.22	5.60	5.58	<b>[P] 5.25%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year in and is off target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% of tenants evicted as a result of rent arrears	<b>L</b>	0.61	0.00	0.37	0.12	0.12	<b>[A] 1.50%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>
<b>G</b>	Number of Tenants Evicted for Rent Arrears (cumulative)	<b>L</b>	5	0	3	1	1	<b>[A] 12</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>
<b>G</b>	Arrears as % of rent roll (cumulative)	<b>L</b>	2.60	2.59	2.37	2.77	2.92	<b>[A] 3.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>G</b>	Void Loss as a % of rent roll	<b>L</b>	0.30	0.22	0.38	0.30	0.41	<b>[A] 2.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>G</b>	Average time to re-let housing	<b>L</b>	19.42	16.79	19.75	21.06	6.11	<b>[P] 21 days</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>

Appendix 3 Dovecotes TMO by category - continued		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Repairs</b>										
<b>G</b>	% of urgent repairs completed within government time limits (Right to Repair)	<b>H</b>	98.60	99.45	98.09	100.00	98.99	<b>[P] 96.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>G</b>	Average time taken (calendar days) to complete non-urgent repairs	<b>L</b>	5.40	5.97	6.86	6.52	5.40	<b>[P] 9 days</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	+
<b>G</b>	% of responsive repairs for which an appointment was made and kept	<b>H</b>	97.70	97.02	96.02	94.90	94.50	<b>[P] 90.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>R</b>	% of emergency repairs completed on time	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	96.00	95.24	<b>[P] 96.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	-
<b>G</b>	% of routine repairs completed on time	<b>H</b>	99.53	99.59	98.61	99.30	99.71	<b>[P] 96.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	+

Appendix 4 New Park Village by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Rent Management</b>										
<b>G</b>	% tenants with more than seven weeks (gross) rent arrears	<b>L</b>	4.66	7.05	5.10	8.29	6.56	<b>[P] 7.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>G</b>	% of tenants evicted as a result of rent arrears	<b>L</b>	0.34	0.00	0.68	0.00	0.68	<b>[A] 3.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>G</b>	Number of Tenants Evicted for Rent Arrears	<b>L</b>	1	0	2	0	2	<b>[A] 11</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>G</b>	Arrears as % of rent roll	<b>L</b>	2.85	2.79	1.91	4.96	2.64	<b>[A] 4%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	<b>+</b>
<b>Voids and Allocations</b>										
<b>G</b>	Void Loss as a % of rent roll	<b>L</b>	0.52	0.80	0.54	0.28	0.54	<b>[A] 2.5%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	<b>-</b>
<b>R</b>	Average time to re-let housing	<b>L</b>	33.44	57.11	50.83	38.00	37.00	<b>[P] 35 days</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is off target.	<b>+</b>

Appendix 4 New Park Village by category - continued		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Repairs</b>										
<b>G</b>	% of urgent repairs completed within government time limits (Right to Repair)	<b>H</b>	98.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>[P] 97.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	=
<b>G</b>	Average time taken (calendar days) to complete non-urgent repairs	<b>L</b>	1.00	1.00	1.20	1.00	1.00	<b>[P] 5 days</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	=
<b>G</b>	% of emergency repairs completed on time	<b>H</b>	97.00	98.00	98.00	93.00	97.00	<b>[P] 97.00%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	+
<b>G</b>	% of routine repairs completed on time	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>[P] 97.00%</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	=

Appendix 5 Springfield Horseshoe by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Rents management</b>										
<b>G</b>	% tenants with more than seven weeks (gross) rent arrears	<b>L</b>	3.14	3.46	3.40	3.31	4.40	<b>5.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>G</b>	% of tenants evicted as a result of rent arrears	<b>L</b>	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.37	0.37	<b>2.00%</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	=
<b>G</b>	Number of Tenants Evicted for Rent Arrears	<b>L</b>	1	0	0	1	0	<b>8</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	+
<b>G</b>	Arrears as % of rent roll	<b>L</b>	1.50	1.49	1.23	1.76	2.34	<b>4.00%</b>	Performance has weakened year-on-year and is in target.	-
<b>Voids and Allocations</b>										
<b>G</b>	Void Loss as a % of rent roll	<b>L</b>	0.30	0.21	0.14	0.26	0.12	<b>2.50%</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	+
<b>G</b>	Average time to re-let housing	<b>L</b>	46.20	33.44	30.00	29.00	23.11	<b>35 days</b>	Performance has improved year-on-year and is in target.	+

Appendix 5 Springfield Horseshoe by category		Good is	Q2 14/15	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Target Profile Or Annual	Comment	Trend Q-O-Q
<b>Repairs</b>										
<b>G</b>	% of urgent repairs completed within government time limits (Right to Repair)	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>98.00%</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>
<b>G</b>	Average time taken (calendar days) to complete non-urgent repairs	<b>L</b>	1.00	1.35	1.00	1.00	1.00	<b>3 days</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>
<b>G</b>	% of emergency repairs completed on time	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>98.00%</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>
<b>G</b>	% of routine repairs completed on time	<b>H</b>	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	<b>98.00%</b>	Performance has been maintained year-on-year and is in target.	<b>=</b>